



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:	
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 170231000	Reason for Inspection Lake St	lidy
Local regulatory authority info: Becker County Zoning 218-846	3-7314	
Property address: 19533 Deroxe Road		
Owner/representative: Chuck Livdahl	Owner	s phone: 701-261-5941
Brief system description: Septic tanks with gravity drainfield		701 201 0011
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 9/20/2022		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant - Notice of non	compliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and ebatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water use discontinued within the time require	ed by local ordinance.
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and	safety (ITPHS) must be
*Note: Compliance Indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontil of this notice or within a shorter period under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.	if required by local ordinance or
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicat	ole)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1)		d safetv
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	to protect groundwater	-
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	ent #3) – <i>Imminent threat to public hea</i>	th and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwate	r
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2	2500 (Compliance component #3) – F	illing to protect groundwater
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Falling		
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Con	npliance component #4) – <i>Noncomplia</i>	nt - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations		
		·
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered t future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	o determine the compliance status of this vn conditions during system construction,	system. No determination of possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge	and that this information can be
Business name: Ohm Excavating LLC	T _A , Ce	rtification number: 1929
Inspector signature: Olivia Ohm	Mac	License number: 4034
(This document has been electronically sign	red)	Phone: 218-234-1256
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attach	ad)
Soll observation logs	quired forms 🔲 Tank Integrity Asses	sment
Other information (list):		
https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864	Use your preferred relay service	Available in alternative formats
wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021		Page 1 of 4

operty Address: 19533 Deroxe Road				
siness Name: Ohm Excavating LLC		·	Date: <u>9/</u>	20/2022
Impact on public health — C	ompliance com	ponent #1 of 5		
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting doc	umentation:	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	_ 24 Not applicable		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	-		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar	the system is an nd safety.	_		
Describe verification methods and	l results:			
ank integrity - Compliance	component #2	af F	ii.	
Compliance criteria:	component #2	Attached supporting doc	umentation:	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting doc ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by in	spector	Ohm
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their		Attached supporting doc	spector ness:	<u>Ohm</u> : 4034
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting doc Empty tank(s) viewed by in Name of maintenance busi License number of mainter Date of maintenance:	spector ness: ance business	: 4034 9/20/2022
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting doc Empty tank(s) viewed by in Name of maintenance busi License number of mainter Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity asse Date of maintenance	spector ness: nance business ssment (Attach	: <u>4034</u> <u>9/20/2022</u>)
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting doc Empty tank(s) viewed by in Name of maintenance busi License number of mainter Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity asse Date of maintenance	spector ness: ance business	9/20/2022)
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Rates the system	Attached supporting doc Empty tank(s) viewed by in Name of maintenance busi License number of mainter Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity asse Date of maintenance	spector hess: hance business ssment (Attach must be within to	: 4034 9/20/2022)) three years)
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Rates the system	Attached supporting doc Empty tank(s) viewed by in Name of maintenance busing License number of mainter Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assent pate of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ending Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. and maintenance (pum).	spector ness: nance business ssment (Attach must be within to	: 4034 9/20/2022 three years) ent complies wit
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ates the systemer.	Attached supporting doc Empty tank(s) viewed by in Name of maintenance busing License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assent pate of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to end Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp.	spector ness: nance business ssment (Attach must be within to	: 4034 9/20/2022 three years) ent complies wit
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates failing to protect groundwates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ates the system er.	Attached supporting doc Empty tank(s) viewed by in Name of maintenance busi License number of mainter Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity asse Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to en Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. Tank is Noncompliant (pum	spector ness: nance business ssment (Attach must be within the sure assessment (Attach) plng not necessa	: 4034 9/20/2022 three years) ent complies wit
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ates the system er.	Attached supporting doc Empty tank(s) viewed by in Name of maintenance busi License number of mainter Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity asse Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to en Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. Tank is Noncompliant (pum	spector ness: nance business ssment (Attach must be within the sure assessment (Attach) plng not necessa	: 4034 9/20/2022 three years) ent complies wit
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ates the system er.	Attached supporting doc Empty tank(s) viewed by in Name of maintenance busi License number of mainter Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity asse Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to en Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. Tank is Noncompliant (pum	spector ness: nance business ssment (Attach must be within the sure assessment (Attach) plng not necessa	: 4034 9/20/2022 three years) ent complies wit
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ates the system er.	Attached supporting doc Empty tank(s) viewed by in Name of maintenance busi License number of mainter Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity asse Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to en Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. Tank is Noncompliant (pum	spector ness: nance business ssment (Attach must be within the sure assessment (Attach) plng not necessa	: 4034 9/20/2022 three years) ent complies with
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ates the system er.	Attached supporting doc Empty tank(s) viewed by in Name of maintenance busi License number of mainter Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity asse Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to en Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. Tank is Noncompliant (pum	spector ness: nance business ssment (Attach must be within the sure assessment (Attach) plng not necessa	: 4034 9/20/2022 three years) ent complies with
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ates the system er.	Attached supporting doc Empty tank(s) viewed by in Name of maintenance busi License number of mainter Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity asse Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to en Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. Tank is Noncompliant (pum	spector ness: nance business ssment (Attach must be within the sure assessment (Attach) plng not necessa	: 4034 9/20/2022 three years) ent complies with

Property Address: 19533 Deroxe Road	
Business Name: Ohm Excavating LLC	Date: 9/20/2022
3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3	of 5
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked	, etc.), or unsecured?
☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public	
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety	·.
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by	inspector? ☐ Yes* ⊠ No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
Describe verification methods and results:	
	:
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
A Constitution of the state of	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance compo	nent #4 of 5 🛛 Not applicable
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	Yes ☐ No If "yes", A below is required
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	Yes ☐ No If "yes", A below is required
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	Yes ☐ No If "yes", A below is required Yes ☐ No If "yes", B below is required
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be	Yes ☐ No If "yes", A below is required Yes ☐ No If "yes", B below is required
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria:	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes N	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes N	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required e completed.

Property Address: 19533 Deroxe Road				w.w.
Business Name: Ohm Excavating LLC			Date:	9/20/2022
5. Soil separation – Compliance co	omponent #5 o	f 5		
Date of installation (mm/dd/yyyy)	🖾 Unknown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting docu	ľ	
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verification		•
5a For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, an	d ☐ Yes ☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil tr		•
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:				
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or eleva	tions	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland	d	A. Bottom of distribution me		24"
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated so	il/bedrock	60"+
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation		36"+
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance se	paration*	36"
Saturated Soil of Degrock."		*May be reduced up to 15 p Ordinance.	ercent if all	lowed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		200		
*Any "no" answer above indicates the failing to protect groundwater.	system is			
Describe verification methods and results:				
Sand/ Loam soils				

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is falling to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

v 04.01.2019

Client:			Chuck Livdahl	_		Locati	Location / Address:		19533 Deroxe Road	. Road
Soil parent n	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	reck all ti	hat apply)		Outwash Lacustrine	□ Loess ☑ Till	II Alluvium	um 🗌 Bedrock	☐ Organic Matter	c Matter
Landscape Po	.andscape Position: (check one)	נ one)	□ Summit □	☐ Shoulder	er 🔲 Back/Side Slope	e 📋 Foot Slope	☐ Toe Slope	Slope shape	Line	Linear, Linear
Vegetation:	-	Lawn		Soils	Soil survey map units:		Slope %:		Elevation:	
Weather Con	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	of Day:			Sunny, morning	ning		Date	0	09/20/22
Observation	Observation #/Location:			Soil	Soil Boring #1		obse	Observation Type:		Auger
Donth (in)	Toxteiro	Rock	Matrix Color(s)		Mottle Color(s)	Podox Kind(s)	Indicatories		Structure	
nebru (III)	ובצרחוב	Frag. %			ואסררוב רסוסו (א)	redox milia(s)	III MICATOI (5)	Shape	Grade	Consistence
ני	neo l	735%	10YR 2/1					Cranitar	Jeo.W	1 1 1 1
5		877							Weak	בים
n C		,3E0/	10YR 4/3					a ch	Work	1
21-6	Login	WCC.						Granutai	Weak	niable.
70 07	20 July 1	/3E	10YR 4/3			-		30	West	1
+7-c	Ctay Luaiii	97C							Wedk	חשטוב
09-76	meo	%5¢>	10YR 5/3					reliner	Mosk	Edishio
20-1-7	- Ca	877						Oi ai latai	Wean	וומסוב
Comments										
I hereby cert	ify that I have	complete	d this work in a	accords	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	able ordinances,	rules and law	·S		
	Olivia Ohm			€,	Bleed Mar			C1929/ L4034		9/20/2022
(Desi	(Designer/Inspector)	تَ			(Signature)			(License #)		(Date)

Additional Soil Observation Logs

ONSITE SERVAGE
TREATMENT
OF PROGRAM

Project ID:

	Client:		Chuc	Chuck Livdahl	ı	Loc	Location / Address:	• •	19533 Deroxe Road	ce Road	
oil parent n	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	heck all t	hat apply)	0	Outwash Lacustrine	∏ Loess	☑Till □All	☐ Alluvium ☐ Bedrock		🗌 Organic Matter	
andscape Pc	Landscape Position: (check one)	(oue	Summit	Shoulder	er 🔲 Back/Side Slope	✓ Foot Slope	e Toe Slope	Slope shape		Linear, Linear	
Vegetation:		Lawn		Soil	Soil survey map units:		Slope %:	.0.0	Elevation:	- - -	
Weather Con	Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	of Day:			Sunny, morning	ing		Date:		09/20/22	
Observation	Observation #/Location:			Soil	Soil Boring #2		Ö O	Observation Type:		Auger	r
Donth (in)	Toyture	Rock	Matrix Color(s)	Jorde	Mottle Color(s)	Padov Kind(s)) Indicator(s)		Structure		Г
שלשק	ובענמוכ	Frag. %		(c)	_	אבתסץ עווותני		Shape	Grade	Consistence	
0		,350	10YR 2/1	W					Week		I
0	<u> </u>	8 7 7				·		Gallulai	Weak	riable	
0 47	aco	/35%	10YR 4/3	/3				a contract	Mode		· · ·
		%CC?						Gallutar	Weak	rnable	
44.30	med I ve J	/359	10YR 4/3	/3				30	West	- L	Τ
07-41	Clay Loani	87C		-7				Gallulai	Weak	rnable	
07 86	neo I	/340/	10YR 5	/3				a chimera	West		1
00-07	- Loai	orr.						Gialiuai	Wedk	E CONTRACTOR DE	
											T
			-								T
											1
Comments											
											7

O. Luddin

Ohm Excavating LLC #4034 0 lu m # 1979 19533 Deroxe Road 170231000 9/20/2022 Mand soil Treatment 15 135 comper Building Drive Deroxe road